

## Masello, Evonne

---

**From:** Masello, Evonne  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 24, 2013 12:58 PM  
**To:** 'Tarka, Michelle'; Davis, Jim  
**Cc:** Beringer, Carrie  
**Subject:** RE: Follow up to call regarding Heritage ash event

Michelle,

To give you a quick answer back...

1 and 4) This information will be included in the contingency plan activation report that we are working on to send out to you ASAP.

2) I believe we chose to use water to eliminate the possibility of stirring the material up and causing it to become airborne. However, we laid down Visqueen before pressure washing so that we were able to collect the water that came off of the houses/objects we were cleaning.

3) Even though we have experienced rain since 7/13, we continued to clean houses, roadways, etc.

5) We are working on a preliminary response on how the toxicologist evaluated risk that we are planning on sending to you as early as tomorrow.

I hope this helps!

Evonne

---

**From:** Tarka, Michelle [<mailto:Michelle.Tarka@epa.state.oh.us>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 24, 2013 11:40 AM  
**To:** Masello, Evonne; Davis, Jim  
**Subject:** FW: Follow up to call regarding Heritage ash event

Evonne and Jim - these are the questions that are being asked of me right now. Can you get me a brief response really quickly? thanks much. email is fine.

- 1) Is there a write up, even a preliminary one, by Heritage of what events caused the release of the ash? If so, could you send me a copy
- 2) General question as to why Heritage would choose to want to power wash rather than HEPA vacuum, or at least a combination of the two (vacuum first then power wash if necessary)?
- 3) How did yesterday's rainfall (on 7/16) event impact Heritage's cleanup effort?
- 4) Does Heritage have any calculation of the amount of ash that was released?
- 5) Will Heritage be developing some type of "risk assessment" with regard to the amount of ash that was released, the toxicity of the ash and distribution of the ash?